

THE PENTAGON ATTACK PAPERS

Updated January 2011

by

Barbara Honegger

Earlier edition Appendix to

THE TERROR CONSPIRACY

by Jim Marrs

Barbara Honegger, M.S. is Senior Military Affairs Journalist with the Naval Postgraduate School (1995-present), DoD's graduate science, technology, national security and homeland security research university. This White Paper, as all of the author's research, publications and presentations on September 11th, are in her capacity as a private citizen and do not imply official endorsement. The author served as Special Assistant to the Assistant to the President and White House Policy Analyst (1981-83); was the pioneering Irangate author and whistleblower on the October Surprise (October Surprise, Tudor, 1989); was featured in the Iran-Contra expose documentary "Cover-Up"; and was called as an investigator-witness at both the October 23, 2004 and August 27, 2005 Los Angeles Citizens 9/11 Grand Jury hearings held at Patriotic Hall in Los Angeles, Calif. Much of the information and analysis contained in this evidence and analysis summary was presented at the L.A. Grand Juries and at numerous 9/11 Truth conferences throughout the US. World 9/11 Truth authority Prof. David Ray Griffin has included the core finding of this white paper – evidence for inside-the-building explosives at the Pentagon on 9/11 paralleling the already-well-known inside-the building explosives at the WTC in New York – in his latest and most definitive book on the September 11th attacks, The New Pearl Harbor Revisited (Chapter 2, 'Reports of Bombs'). In a previous book, Prof. Griffin said that any serious reinvestigation of 9/11 should include the information and analysis contained in this White Paper. Honegger's two-hour under-oath videotaped interview/testimony of key Pentagon eyewitness April Gallop, cited in the below, provided the basis for a critical 9/11 lawsuit filed in Manhattan on Dec. 15, 2008.

The *San Francisco Chronicle* commemorated the 100th anniversary of the Great California 1906 Earthquake with front-page articles featuring a single iconic image -- a charred clock frozen in time at 5:12 a.m. -- the exact moment "The Big One" hit.¹ Over a century after that devastating event, this stopped clock still serves as the ultimate evidence and the historic icon that "captures it all."

Another series of clocks and watches frozen in time at the exact moment of the first violent event at the Pentagon on the morning of Sept. 11, 2001 also "capture it all" and serve as the ultimate evidence shattering the official theory

of what happened there that terrible morning – and narrows the range of who was really responsible for the horrific acts.

Converging Lines of Evidence of a 9:30-to-9:32 a.m. Inside Violent Event at the Pentagon on Sept. 11, 2001 well before the Official Story says anything approached and hit the building

The Pentagon was first attacked shortly after 9:30 a.m. – almost 8 minutes before the official ‘impact’ time of 9:37:46, when the Official Conspiracy Theory (OCT) says a plane hit the building from the outside.

Both DoD and mainstream media initially reported the time of the alleged Flight 77 impact similarly, as “about 9:30”, but government censors quickly began moving the alleged outside strike time later and later.^{1B} Some early reports of the alleged impact time quoting official sources were as late as 10:00. The time given by Pentagon officials for the claimed outside impact on the building finally “settled” at 9:37:47, or almost 9:38.

Multiple standard-issue battery- and electric-operated wall clocks in the heliport just outside the west wall and in the inside areas of the Pentagon attacked on 9/11 were stopped *between 9:30 and 9:32* as a result of the first violent event

The Navy, itself part of ‘the Pentagon’, posted a photo of a Pentagon wall clock stopped at 9:31:40 on one of its official websites; and the Pentagon wall clock chosen for the national 9/11 exhibit at the Smithsonian Institution was stopped at 9:31:30.² These are just some of the west-section Pentagon clocks that were stopped shortly after 9:30 on Sept. 11, 2001.

In addition, April Gallop’s wrist watch was stopped “just after 9:30”

April Gallop, an Army employee with a Top Secret clearance specializing in the declassification of documents was at her desk in the Army area in the west section of the Pentagon on 9/11, the part of the building most heavily destroyed and with the most casualties, when what she said sounded and felt “like a bomb” went off, soon followed by a second explosion. According to the Pentagon’s own building performance report researched and completed in the wake of the attacks, Gallop’s desk was approx. 30 feet from the alleged inside trajectory of the alleged impactor plane.

“Being in the Army with the training I had, I know what a bomb sounds and acts like, especially the aftermath, and it sounded and acted like a bomb,” Gallop told the author in an under-oath videotaped interview.^{2A} “There was no plane or plane parts inside the building, and no smell of jet fuel.” In those two hours of under-oath videotaped testimony, Gallop states that the explosion went off at *the precise instant* that she hit the ‘power on’ button on her computer in the Army area, to which she had just returned that morning after some months of pregnancy and childbirth leave, and that the first explosion stopped her wrist watch “just after 9:30 a.m.”^{2B} She has kept the stopped wrist watch in a safe deposit box as evidence of the exact moment of the initial Pentagon explosion. (Significantly, one of the witnesses to an inside-the-building explosion going off at the Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City separate from the well known outside Ryder truck bomb, Jane Graham, went on record to host Bonnie Faulkner in a Pacifica KPFA radio interview that *the moment* she also pressed the on button on her computer at her desk inside the building, an internal explosion went off.^{2C} The parallel inside-bomb-with-outside-attack-cover-story modus operandi of the Murrah Building and Pentagon attacks point to the same inside perpetrators as being responsible for the planning and execution of both.)

The huge explosion and massive damage experienced by Gallop at/by her desk inside the west section only about 30 feet from the alleged ‘entrance’ hole of the claimed impactor, combined with the fact that the Pentagon's thick west outer wall had just been fortified to *withstand damage from an external bomb* and/or external impactor, make it almost certain that the explosion and internal damage experienced by Gallop and other witnesses came -- at least first -- from inside, not outside, the building.

Some 9/11 researchers, notably Jim Hoffman, have studied the evidence surrounding the Pentagon attack and, though acknowledging evidence for an explosion not explained by a plane impact or resultant jet fuel combustion, nevertheless claim a Boeing 757 or similarly sized aircraft probably hit the building, based predominantly on witnesses who say they saw a large plane approach and impact the west wedge. For such witnesses to believe the incoming plane hit the building, however, the large smoke cloud could not have already been billowing up and above the Pentagon; had it been, they would have known it was due to some other, prior cause. Thus, if the early 9:30-9:32 inside

explosions were the cause of the fireball and smoke cloud, any plane that witnesses saw approaching and believed hit the building had to have approached near-simultaneously with the cause of the cloud, or just after 9:30 -- no where close to the 9:37:46 official story alleged impact time.

Other 9/11 researchers, notably the Citizens Investigation Team (CIT), assume as fact the author's original finding that inside-the-building explosion(s) caused the fireball and billowing black smoke cloud which only some of the CIT witnesses say they saw immediately after a large plane passed to the north of the Citgo gas station, contrary to the official story which claims a plane hit the light poles while approaching south of the Citgo station. But CIT's witnesses for the north-of-the-station path don't give a time for the plane's approach, and CIT's website and videos assume that time to be just before the *official story* alleged impact time of 9:37:46. But this is almost certainly not the case. If the internal explosion causing the fireball and smoke cloud happened just after 9:30, as compellingly supported by the evidence here, so also must have the approach of the plane that CIT's witnesses saw to the north of the Citgo station -- not at the much later official impact time of almost 9:38.

It is important here to note that internal explosion(s) between 9:30 and 9:32 a.m. on the first and/or second floors of the west wedge of the Pentagon are *not inconsistent* with there possibly having *also* been a near-simultaneous and/or *later* impact by some airborne object much smaller than a 757/Flight 77 -- a piloted plane, an unmanned drone, or a missile -- into the same or a nearby section of the building. Indeed, if a *heat-seeking* missile hit the building following the explosion(s), the heat from the earlier explosion(s) would have *become the target* for the missile. (See below for reports that A-3 Sky Warrior planes were retrofitted shortly before 9/11 enabling them to be remotely controlled, and fitted with missiles.) More specifically, if a missile approached along the *south-of-the-Citgo-station* "light pole" path and hit the west side heat target where the explosives had already gone off *just as* the plane CIT's witnesses saw approach along the north-of-the-station path overflew the building through the smoke cloud, it would explain why some of CIT's witnesses believed the plane caused the impact noise actually caused by the simultaneously-hitting missile.

As further evidence of internal explosions at the Pentagon on 9/11, survivor witnesses from inside the west section reported that the blast caused its newly-hardened windows to *first* expand *outwards*, and then inwards, consistent with an internal-explosion-caused pressure wave.⁷ And the outermost columns closest to where the official story says the right wing of a 757 crashed into the building appear to be blown up and out from the inside, not inward, in photos.

Multiple witnesses also said they smelled cordite after the initial explosion at the Pentagon, an explosive which has a distinct and very different smell from that of burning jet fuel, which would have been the smell if Flight 77 had hit and entered the building. And, as already noted, Gallop said there was no smell of jet fuel inside the most-damaged section of the West wedge, where she worked in the Army area, shortly after the first violent event that stopped her watch there just after 9:30. Pentagon eyewitness Don Perkal stated to MSNBC, “Even before stepping outside, I could smell the cordite. I knew explosives had been set off somewhere.” Witness Gilah Goldsmith reported, “We saw a huge black cloud of smoke. It smelled like cordite, or gun smoke.” And witness Samuel Danner, an AmTrak electrical engineer, was at the site and said he smelled cordite.⁸ Cordite N -- which consists of the main explosive compounds nitroguanidine, nitrocellulose, and nitroglycerin -- is cool-burning and produces little smoke and no flash but, like other explosives, produces a strong detonation shock wave. Several witnesses also reported seeing a bright silvery flash, which is inconsistent with *either* jet fuel combustion from a plane impact, which produces a bright yellow not a white or silvery fireball, or with cordite explosions which are not accompanied by a flash.

Even Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld told Sam Donaldson in an *ABC News* interview shortly after 9/11 that his first thought, being in the building when the initial attack happened, was that a bomb had gone off. Donaldson asked, “What did you think it was?” Rumsfeld replied, “A bomb?”

The author also interviewed the taxi driver whose cab is the only car visible still parked on I-395 above the Pentagon lawn looking down at the west face after the other cars have left the freeway (not Lloyd England). This taxi can be seen in overhead photos taken on the morning of 9/11 and viewable on the Internet. The driver said his was the last car

allowed onto that section of I-395 before police put up a barricade and that he decided not to immediately leave the scene like the others “because I realized this was history and I wanted to see for myself.” He stated that he saw no evidence of a plane having hit the building nor any visible plane pieces on the lawn at the time he arrived, which was after the first violent event had occurred at the building, as black smoke was already streaming up and to the right from *inside-the-building* fires. The taxi cab driver drew a diagram of what he saw that morning while overlooking the Pentagon’s west face from I-395, which the author has retained.

The author has interviewed an Army auditor from Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey, who was on temporary duty assignment at the Pentagon before, on and after 9/11. He was in the Army financial management spaces only minutes before the Pentagon explosion on the morning of 9/11. He had just returned to his temporary office on the ground floor of the adjacent south side of the Pentagon by the cafeteria when he heard an explosion and felt the building shake. Immediately afterwards, he said, hundreds of panicked Pentagon personnel ran by him down the corridor just outside his office and out the South Entrance, yelling “Bombs!” and “A bomb went off!” The witness has requested that his name not be used in this evidence summary, but is willing to testify to a grand jury or independent official investigation; his name and contact information have been provided to Prof. David Ray Griffin as *bona fides* for the ‘Reports of Bombs’ section of the chapter on the Pentagon attack, Chapter 2, in Griffin’s book The New Pearl Harbor Revisited, as has the two-hour under-oath testimony interview with April Gallop.

This Army financial management/audit area was part of or contiguous to the Army personnel office, and this general Army administrative area was one of the two west wedge functions most heavily damaged and with the greatest number of fatalities in the Pentagon attack -- the other being the Naval Command Center, more of which below. The day before 9/11, on September 10, Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld had publicly announced and acknowledged that the Pentagon was “missing” – that it allegedly could not account for and needed to “find” -- \$2.3 *Trillion dollars* (other reports have put the amount at \$2.6 Trillion). Were some of the auditors who could “follow the money” -- and the computers whose data mining could help them do it -- intentionally targeted by the inside-the-building explosions at the Pentagon on September 11th? In his books, Professor Griffin states that this possibility, first suggested based on publicly known circumstantial

evidence by the author, deserves serious investigation. It is worth noting that the Pentagon's top financial officer leading up to and at the time of the attacks, Dov Zakheim, who also acknowledged the "missing" Trillions, had a company specializing in aircraft remote-control technology. As some analyses claim a part found in the Pentagon wreckage is the front-hub assembly of the front compressor of a JT8D turbojet engine used in the A-3 Sky Warrior jet fighter,⁵ and as Air Force A-3 Sky Warriors -- normally piloted planes -- were reportedly secretly retrofitted into remote-controlled drones and fitted with missiles in a highly-compartmented operation at an airport near Ft. Collins-Loveland Municipal Airport in Colorado in the months before 9/11,⁶ the question further arises as to whether Pentagon auditors trying to "follow the money" and their computerized databases were intentionally targeted by inside-the-building explosives on 9/11, with a plane impact as the preplanned cover story.

Once it is realized that the real story at the Pentagon -- as at the WTC in New York City -- is inside explosives, the possibility that specific offices, functions or even individuals were targeted to be 'taken out' becomes very real, whereas the cover story that a Boeing 757 hit the building immediately leads to the assumption -- probably desired by the real perpetrators -- that any specific deaths and destruction were random.

The Ft. Monmouth Army auditor and his two colleagues were also eyewitnesses to multiple teams of bomb-sniffing dogs and their K-9 handlers in camouflage uniform at the Pentagon metro station just outside the building at approximately 7:30 am on Sept. 11. He said that canine bomb squads had not been at the Pentagon metro stop before 9/11, or since, but only that day. Since K-9 dog squads don't search for airliners, but bombs, some kind of an explosives attack was apparently anticipated. Ms. Gallop said she also saw the bomb-sniffing K-9 teams that morning, from the top of the Pentagon Metro stop looking down. (A possible explanation for the dogs could be advance security for President Bush's anticipated arrival at the Pentagon heliport, then scheduled for later that afternoon at approximately 12:30 p.m.)

As mentioned above, in addition to the Army administrative area, the second most-destroyed area of the Pentagon on 9/11 was the Naval Command Center (NCC). The Official Conspiracy Theory contained in the 9/11 Commission Report and repeated by the mainstream media holds that 44 Naval Command Center personnel were physically present in that

space on the morning of 9/11, and that 43 of the 44 died. The author, however, was told a very different story by the top military officer in charge of the Navy Anti-Terrorism Division in the NCC on the morning of 9/11, Coast Guard Reserve Rear Adm. Jeffrey Hathaway.^{6A} After the attack on the *U.S.S. Cole* in Aden Harbor in Yemen, Hathaway was put in charge of Navy anti-terrorism force protection and was temporarily assigned to the NCC before the attacks. Upon the author telling Hathaway that the reported sole survivor of the Naval Command Center on 9/11 was Navy Lt. Kevin Shaeffer, Adm. Hathaway immediately responded that that wasn't the whole story, and that a secret 19-or-so-person intelligence cell were in a hardened room at the NCC that morning and all also survived the attack. Given that everyone officially present in the Naval Command Center, except for Shaeffer, reportedly died – by far the greatest acknowledged fatalities-per-capita of any section of the Pentagon – the possibility again immediately presents itself that this secret intelligence cell was intentionally targeted by the internal explosives. The author has queried Army Reserve Lt. Col. Anthony Shaffer -- author of the expose book Operation Dark Heart censored by the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA), a key operative in the pre-9/11 Special Operations Command (SOCOM) “Able Danger” intelligence analysis group that identified two of the three ‘Al Qaeda’ cells allegedly responsible for the 9/11 attacks including ‘ringleader’ Mohammed Atta over a year before 9/11 -- as to whether this Naval Command Center intelligence cell potentially targeted by the inside-the-building explosives was part of ‘Able Danger’ despite the fact that the operation was reportedly shut down the Rumsfeld Pentagon immediately after Bush and Cheney took control of the White House in Jan. 2001. He said that to his knowledge it was not. (Shaffer said he is no known immediate relation to the Official Theory Naval Command Center alleged sole survivor Lt. Kevin Shaeffer, who, following months of surgery and physical therapy after sustaining 60% burns from the attacks was then hired as *the* key staff member on *the* critical 9/11 Commission subgroup “investigating” DoD’s (non)response which censored not only “Able Danger” but the Pentagon’s inside-the-building explosions as well as NORAD’s hijack-scenario counter-terrorism exercises being conducted *on* the morning of 9/11.

The author also interviewed a Navy public affairs officer assigned to the Naval Command Center before and on 9/11, Lt. Cmdr. David Nunally. This officer was not in the building that morning, having been temporarily assigned elsewhere, but was quickly called back after the attacks

and assigned to be the deputy public affairs officer at the underground “back-up Pentagon” location in Pennsylvania near the Maryland border, called Site R. Lt. Cmdr. Nunnally said that Deputy Secretary of Defense Paul Wolfowitz and later Vice President Cheney were flown to the Site R underground bunker as a result of Richard Clarke’s official declaration of “Continuity of Government/Continuity of Operations” (COG/COOP) on the morning of 9/11. This is confirmed in Clarke’s book, *Against All Enemies*, which reports that Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld chose Wolfowitz to be the designated COG/COOP official at Site R in his stead. Perhaps significantly, the ‘crash’ site of Flight 93 is not far from Site R and Camp David, which early reports on the morning of 9/11, presumably taken from official sources, said may have been the flight’s intended target (the Official Theory claims the believed Flight 93 target was the Capitol bldg.), whose surrounding airspace, like that around Washington, D.C., is a standing shoot down area. Additional information about Site R, on and after 9/11, can be found in James Bamford’s book, *A Pretext for War*.

The author has interviewed the then Acting Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations on 9/11, Robert Andrews, the top civilian official then in charge of special operations under Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld and a former Green Beret whose office was on the second floor of the south section of the Pentagon, adjacent to the west section. Perhaps significantly, the pre-9/11 Al Qaeda-tracking and data-mining operation ‘Able Danger’ was under the Special Operations Command (SOCOM).

While drawing the path that he took that morning on a sketch of the Pentagon for the author, Mr. Andrews revealed the following:

Immediately after the second World Trade Center attack of 9:03, Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld left his office on the Potomac side of the Pentagon and went (merely) across the hall on the same floor to his Executive Support Center (ESC), which is set up for teleconferencing. There, he joined the now-famous teleconference of top government officials run by White House NSC counterterrorism ‘czar’ Richard Clarke out of the White House Situation Room area media room. Clarke, in his book *Against All Enemies*, confirms that Rumsfeld was among the first officials on this teleconference shortly after the second WTC tower was hit. Clarke’s account and Andrews’ confirmation of it are thus completely at odds with the Official Theory and the 9/11 Commission report, which claim that no one could “find” Secretary Rumsfeld until

approximately 10:30 a.m. when he walked into the National Military Command Center (NMCC) in the Pentagon. The fact that Rumsfeld, the military's top civilian official, was *on* Clarke's teleconference along with *the* top official of the Federal Aviation Administration, FAA Director Jane Garvey, also unmasks the total lie of the official story that Air Force interceptors weren't scrambled in time "because the military and the FAA couldn't talk each other" that morning. *The* top-most officials of the Pentagon *and* the FAA were *talking to one another constantly and being recorded* on Clarke's teleconference from as early as 9:15 a.m. This videotaped Clarke teleconference is thus "The Butterfield Tape" of 9/11 which, not surprisingly, has never been released to the public. [During the 1970s Watergate scandal, secretly-made tapes of President Nixon's Oval Office conversations revealed by Alexander Butterfield were the "smoking guns" which forced Nixon to resign or face certain impeachment by the House and trial in the Senate.]

According to Andrews, immediately after he learned the second WTC tower was hit just after 9:03 a.m. from watching television in his office, he and his aide left and ran as fast as they could down to "the Secretary of Defense's West section Counterterrorism Center (CTC)" arriving at approximately 9:10 a.m. While they were in the CTC, a violent event caused the ceiling tiles to fall from the ceiling and smoke to pour into the room. Andrews immediately looked at his watch, which read approximately 9:35 a.m. but which was set fast to ensure timely arrival at meetings, so the actual time was closer to 9:32. He and his aide then immediately evacuated the CTC with the goal of joining Rumsfeld in the Secretary of Defense's Executive Support Center (ESC) across the hall from Rumsfeld's main office. He said that Rumsfeld was already on Clarke's White House teleconference when they arrived. En route to Rumsfeld's ESC, Andrews said that when he and his aide entered the corridor on the *inside* ring of the West section, the A Ring, "We had to walk over dead bodies" to get to the inner courtyard. This is *two rings further in* towards the center than the purported Official Theory 'exit' hole in the inside wall of the center C Ring allegedly made by the alleged Official Theory Flight 77 penetration of the building.

Once in the inner courtyard, Andrews and his aide ran as fast as they could to Rumsfeld's Executive Support Center, where he joined Rumsfeld as his special operations/counterterrorism adviser during Clarke's White

House teleconference. Andrews also said that Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld *spoke with President Bush while in the ESC*. Whether this was via Clarke's teleconference or by phone or other means was not stated. The fact that Rumsfeld personally communicated with Bush on 9/11 while Rumsfeld was in his Executive Support Center across from his Pentagon office has been published on an official DoD website.⁹

High-Level Official Testimony to the 9:30-9:32 First Violent Event Time

In addition to the already legion evidence that Flight 77, a large Boeing 757-200 passenger plane, did not hit the Pentagon -- i.e. the too-small hole in the west side of the Pentagon being not nearly large enough for the width of the plane's fuselage let alone wingspan; no visible damage to the lawn where Flight 77 allegedly skidded before hitting the building at the *ground* floor; plane part wreckage at the site not from a 757 but from a much smaller aircraft; Pentagon requests to TV media on the morning of 9/11 not to take up-close images of the building damage, etc. -- there is also *official* evidence for the "too-early-for-the-Official-Story" circa 9:32 time of the first violent event.

The FAA [Federal Aviation Administration] Timeline document "Executive Summary—Chronology of a Multiple Hijacking Crisis—September 11, 2001" includes the following entry: "**0932**: ATC [Air Traffic Control] AEA reports aircraft crashes into west side of Pentagon."³ The earlier-than-official-time of 9:32 is the critical fact here, and not the stated cause, which was taken from the official narrative and not from any direct ATC eyewitness reports.

On August 27, 2002, then White House Counsel and subsequently Attorney General Alberto Gonzales gave an audio-taped Secretary of the Navy Guest Lecture at the Naval Postgraduate School in Monterey, Calif., a Department of Defense university, in which he explicitly and clearly states that "The Pentagon was attacked **at 9:32.**" A tape of this segment of Gonzales' talk was played at the 9/11 Emergency Truth Convergence held at American University in Washington, D.C. in July 2005, and is on the public record.

Denmark's soon-to-be Foreign Minister Per Stig Moller was in a building in Washington, D.C. on 9/11 from which he looked out, heard an explosion and saw the smoke first rise from the Pentagon. He immediately

looked at his wrist watch, which read 9:32 a.m. He gave interviews to Denmark media the next morning in which he stated that the Pentagon had been attacked **at 9:32.**⁴

In the Air Force's own account of the events of 9/11, [Air War Over America](#), the North American Aerospace Defense Command (NORAD) general who finally ordered interceptor jets scrambled on 9/11, although too late, General Larry Arnold, revealed that he ordered one of his fighter jets to fly down low over the Pentagon shortly after the attack there that morning, and that the pilot reported back that there was no evidence that a plane had hit the building. This fighter—not Flight 77—is almost certainly the plane seen on the Dulles Airport Air Traffic Controller's screen making a steep, high-speed 270- to 330-degree descent before disappearing from the radar. When a plane flies low enough to go undetected, usually at or below 500 feet, it is said to be flying "under the radar." The Pilotsfor911Truth website and their *Pandora's Black Box* video documentary have determined from official data released by the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) that the true altitude/height of the plane represented by the blip seen on the Dulles ATC screen was 476 feet -- way to high to have hit the Pentagon at all, let alone its ground floor, but, significantly, *just* the right height range to been seen by controllers to have *just* gone off radar so it could *be said* to have crashed into the building. Military pilots—like the one sent by Gen. Arnold on 9/11 to report on the Pentagon's damage—are *trained* to fly just under approx. 500 feet above ground in order to evade radar detection. In fact, when the Air Traffic Controller responsible for the plane and her colleagues watched the extremely difficult 330-degree maneuver (originally reported as a 270-degree maneuver, since updated) on her screen, they were certain that the plane whose blip they were watching perform this extremely difficult 'Top Gun' feat *was* in fact a military aircraft, and said so at the time. And it almost certainly was.

Thus, the likely reason the Pentagon has refused to lower its official "Flight 77 impact" time of 9:37:46 -- usually 'rounded down' to 9:37 in mainstream media reports -- to the actual 9:30- to 9:32-range time of the first explosions there -- is that they decided to pretend the blip represented by Gen. Arnold's surveillance fighter jet *was* "Flight 77," despite the fact that the 9:37:46 approach path is for a plane that flies north of the Citgo station, not the south-of-the-station path where the Official Theory says the light poles were struck by the plane. Also, the Official Story claims

that the alleged 9:37:46 impact was the *only* Pentagon attack that morning, yet by the time Arnold's surveillance jet arrived on the scene, at least the first internal violent event had already happened as the pilot reported damage to the building, so DoD cannot acknowledge the actual earlier 9:30-9:32 time without revealing an inside attack on the building *prior to* the Official Theory's alleged outside impact.

Even the famous Naudet brothers' video of the first attack of 9/11, on World Trade Center 1 in New York, shows, at 42:27 minutes into the tape, that there were reports **at 9:30** that the Pentagon had been hit.^{4A}

There is even some evidence of internal explosions prior to 9:30 a.m. Pentagon Police officer Roosevelt Roberts Jr. was watching the NYC attacks on TV when he got a call from his sergeant telling him that the Pentagon had gone to ThreatCon Delta; then, just as he hung up the phone, he experienced a violent explosion "at 9:12 or 9:11" as if "it was almost timed for precision," after which dust fell from the ceiling of the east loading dock where he was working and he heard people screaming.

Significantly, all of this *also* happened just after 9:30 a.m. (from *The Complete 9/11 Timeline* at www.HistoryCommons):

- * After an inexplicable delay during which they knew that both WTC towers had been attacked, the Secret Service suddenly acted as if the 9/11 attacks were serious and "real", rushing President Bush out of the library at the Florida school where they had allowed him to continue to read to children as much as 10 minutes after being told by Andy Card that *the second* NYC tower had been hit.
- * Firefighters were suddenly ordered out of WTC 1 in New York City.
- * The New York Stock Exchange was ordered closed.
- * The takeover of Flight 93 reportedly began, with the stabbing of a flight attendant and one of the alleged hijackers announcing that there was a bomb on board, picked up by air traffic controllers.

But perhaps the most convincing evidence for the Sept. 11 attacks being an inside job is that the actual attacks were mirrored by Air Force *hijack-scenario* counterterrorism 'exercises' being conducted by NORAD's (an Air Force agency's) North East Sector (NEADS) *on* the morning of

9/11 itself. The author's original research and analysis first revealed the NEADS hijack-scenario exercises that 'went live' on 9/11 as the modus operandi for the planning, execution and cover-up of the attacks, credited as 'The Holy Grail' of 9/11 research by author Michael Ruppert in his early 9/11 expose book Crossing the Rubicon.

Critically, the author has recently interviewed White House NSC counterterrorism 'czar' Richard Clarke, who *confirmed* that NORAD was conducting *hijack-scenario* exercises in the N.E. Sector – where *all three* NYC, Pentagon and Pennsylvania attacks took place – *on* the morning of 9/11 to an audience of 75, published on an official DoD web site.^{4B} The key excerpt from that article:

“Regarding 9/11 itself, Clarke noted that part of the little known history of that day was confusion by NORAD's North East Sector, which was about to conduct an exercise on a partial hijack scenario as the actual hijackings began, as to whether the unfolding events were 'real world' or part of the exercise – a confusion whose cause bears an eerie similarity to the 'arm reaching out of the computer' danger Cyber War [Clarke's latest book] warns about. “*Yes, this happened on 9/11*, and it happened with TWA Flight 800, when the Navy was doing a sea search exercise in the very area where the plane went down. And though *the White House clears every major exercise*, on Sept. 11th just last year, the Coast Guard ran an exercise on the Potomac River right near where the President was at the time. I'm a firm believer in exercises, and we have to make sure that firewalls in the future are tight. I wouldn't have been able to respond the way we did on 9/11 *if we hadn't exercised it five times.*”

Also, critically, the operational head of NORAD NEADS on 9/11, Air Force Col. Bob Marr, an expert on “Red Team” verisimilitude -- making military exercises and wargames as realistic or “real world” as possible -- has also now publicly acknowledged that his Air Force agency was conducting a *plane-hijack-scenario* counterterrorism exercise *on* the morning of 9/11.^{4C}

It is *impossible* that the highest levels of the Bush-Cheney White House “couldn't imagine” using planes as weapons when its own military was practicing how to defend against exactly such a hijack-scenario attack *on the morning of 9/11 itself*. In particular, Clarke stressed that the White House advance approves all major military exercises, of which those

planned for and conducted on 9/11 more than qualified, and the White House official most likely to have given that pre-approval, National Security Council Director Condoleezza Rice, is the very person who publicly insisted that the administration “couldn’t imagine” that very scenario. Rice was and is also a close colleague of and co-authored a book with the 9/11 Commission’s Executive Director Phillip Zelikow, whose Report pushed the Official Lie of 9/11 and didn’t mention the hijack-scenario NORAD “exercises” being conducted that very morning.

On February 4, 2004, the author interviewed Air Force General Ralph Eberhart, Commander of NORAD on 9/11, about NORAD’s hijack-scenario exercise on the morning of 9/11. To the author’s knowledge, the top NORAD official has granted no other interview since the events of September 11. Before being asked questions, Gen. Eberhart was given copies of all mainstream press articles published as of that date on the subject of the confusion on 9/11 of his Northeast Sector (NEADS) personnel running NORAD’s “Vigilant Guardian/Vigilant Warrior” emergency response exercises that morning. As of the date of the interview, therefore, the then head of NORAD was made aware of the initial confusion by his own NEADS “game” players on 9/11 between incoming exercise reports and incoming reports of actual hijacks.

The author first asked Gen. Eberhart if there was any connection between NORAD’s “Vigilant Guardian/Vigilant Warrior” exercise being run on 9/11 and the plane-crashing-into-tower emergency response exercise simultaneously being held at National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) headquarters outside Washington, D.C.^{12/first cite} He replied, “No.” This response was surprising, as a large percentage of NRO personnel are reportedly from his own agency, the Air Force. He was asked for reconfirmation, to which he again said, “No.” Laying the ground for the next question, the author mentioned that NEADS’ “exercise” director on the morning of 9/11, Lt. Col. Dawne Deskins, has said that she was confused as to whether initial reports of the hijacked planes on the morning of 9/11 were “real world” or “part of the game.” This, the author noted, showed that the NORAD exercises that morning had to have been on a hijack scenario *at least similar to* the actual attacks, as otherwise there wouldn’t have been any grounds for confusion. After considering this for a moment, Gen. Eberhart refused to answer any further questions and abruptly ended the interview. As we have seen above, subsequent to the initial publication of this white paper in the first printing of

The Terror Conspiracy, NORAD officials confirmed that their ‘exercise’ on the morning of 9/11 *did*, indeed, include a *hijack* scenario (Touching History, pp. 3-6 and 24-27).

Explosive Revelations Regarding the WTC Attacks

Millions in the U.S. and around the world now know World Trade Center Towers 1 and 2 as well as WTC Building 7, not hit by any plane, fell due to controlled demolitions using pre-planted super-military-grade thermite explosives pre-planted inside the buildings, to which ‘Al Qaeda’ could never have obtained access – especially to WTC 7, which housed multiple U.S. Government agencies including the CIA, FBI, Secret Service, SEC and even NYC Mayor Giuliani’s own Emergency Operations Center from which emergency counterterrorism responses were to have been run -- thanks to the impeccable and courageous efforts of Prof. David Ray Griffin and Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth (www.ae911truth.org), among others. Over 1,300 licensed architects and engineers have signed Architects & Engineers’ petition calling for a new, truly independent investigation of the events leading up to and on 9/11.

Among the most explosive revelations of what really happened at the World Trade Center on Sept. 11 is WTC 7 owner and WTC 1 and 2 lease-holder Larry Silverstein’s admission, in an interview on PBS Television that the NYC “fire department commander,” after a discussion in a phone call with Silverstein late on the afternoon of 9/11, made the decision “to pull [the building, a professional term for triggering the controlled demolition of a structure with preplaced explosives] and we watched the building collapse.” This claim takes on sinister dimensions when combined with the below inside information received from American Helicopter Society Executive Director M.E. Rhett Flater, who knows many of the principals involved:

“The NYC Police [Dept.] had two Bell 412’s [helicopters] equipped with hoists and rescuers in the air on 9/11 next to the WTCs. One of these was the same 412 and police crew which assisted with rooftop rescues when one of the buildings was attacked by Al Qaeda bombers several years earlier [in 1993]. The NYC Fire Department, which has no love for the NYC Police Department, assumed jurisdiction and denied access to the roof by the NYC Police helicopters. Complicating matters, the rooftop doors were [double] locked prior to 9/11 at the direction of the NYC Fire Department.

As a result, there were no helicopter rescue attempts. When a fleet of Sikorsky U-60L Black Hawks arrived from Stratford with medics and supplies, they were ordered to a local airport and directed to stay away from the towers. The same was true of other rescue attempts by civil and military and paramilitary helicopters.”^{9A}

Amazingly, the pilot of one of the NYC Police Dept. helicopters who sent two of his crew members down to the WTC 1 roof to rescue survivors after the basement bombing of the building in 1993, Det. Greg Semendinger, was the official NYPD photographer in one of its four helicopters circling around the Towers on 9/11, and expressed ‘surprise’ that there was no one on the roof to rescue^{9B}, though it was well known – even infamous – throughout the Police Department that the NYC Fire Department had ordered the roof doors double-locked. Further, Semendinger’s helicopter that morning was equipped with the same winch and foldable rescue seat he had used to rescue almost two dozen from the WTC roof eight years earlier. Of the 2,770 photos Semendinger took from the NYPD helicopter on 9/11, which were provided to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) as background for their report that refused to even consider the controlled demolition hypothesis for what caused the Towers to fall and which NIST then provided to the 9/11 Commission, and which subsequently were given to ABC News as a result of its FOIA demand, only 24 have been released to the public (see above link). The remaining 2,746 photos almost certainly contain literal ‘smoking guns’ and need to be forced into the public domain by a new, truly independent investigation of Sept. 11th.

Putting two and two together, the NYC Fire Dept. commander(s) who ordered the WTC 1 and 2 rooftop doors double-locked -- closing off the only hope of escape for victims caught above the point of the plane impacts and fires, many of whom were forced to jump to their deaths – also refused to allow NY Police Department helicopters equipped and ready to rescue anyone able to get to the roofs – or any of the other dozens of civilian, military and paramilitary helicopters that rushed to Manhattan ready to rescue them – to do so; *and*, after talking with WTC 7 owner Silverstein, ordered that that building be destroyed by classic remote-controlled demolition. And what’s ‘good’ for the goose (WTC 7) is ‘good’ for the gander (WTC 1 and 2): i.e., it is almost certain that Silverstein and the NYC Fire Department Commander also made the decision to bring down WTC 1 and 2 by controlled demolition earlier in the day.

This is a high evil forcing a “devil’s alternative” on the WTC victims caught above the plane impacts and fires that would make Hitler’s SS shake with rage.

To add fuel to the fire, Fox News reporter and former Gannett News journalist Jeffrey Shapiro has claimed that NYC Police Department officials told him late on the afternoon of 9/11 that Silverstein also was on the phone with his insurance company trying to talk them into approving the controlled demolition destruction of WTC 7, the one WTC structure he owned outright, using language similar to that Silverstein told PBS he and the Fire Department Commander had used as the pretext for ‘pulling’ the building (<http://www.prisonplanet.com/bombshell-silverstein-wanted-to-demolish-building-7-on-911.html>).

Further, WTC janitor William “Willy” Rodriguez, the last non-emergency-responder to leave the WTC alive on 9/11, has testified that he was in the first *basement* level of the WTC when an immense explosion went off *below* him in the even-deeper *subbasement* level(s) of the building a few seconds *before* the plane hit the tower high above.¹⁰ Just as Robert Andrews revealed that the West-side *sub*-level of the Pentagon was damaged at approximately 9:32 a.m. on 9/11, and as we know that the cause of the first 9:32 a.m. Pentagon attack was not an impact event but inside explosives, there thus are eye- and ear witness reports of bombs going off in *both* the Pentagon *and* the WTC *underground* level(s) *before either* were hit by *anything* from the outside.

As no “outside” terrorist, al Qaeda or otherwise, could have had access to either the Pentagon or the sustained advance access needed to pre-place explosives inside the WTC, *only* domestic insiders could have pre-placed the explosives in *both* the Pentagon *and* the WTC. Further, because the WTC1 deep-basement explosions(s) experienced by Willy Rodriguez happened *before* the tower was hit by a plane; as any incoming plane not controlled by the same agents that triggered the sub-basement detonation(s) could have veered off from the building at the last second thereby ruining the plane-impact-as-cover-story for the later building collapse; and as the sub-basement explosions were necessary for the actual later collapse of the buildings by controlled demolition, *the same domestic U.S. insiders had to have controlled both the internal sub-basement detonations and the incoming plane(s)*. Thus, even if al Qaeda hijackers were on the incoming planes, they were *not* in control of the final approach and impact of the

planes, which had to have been *100 percent guaranteed* and thus 100 percent controlled by domestic U.S. insiders to ensure that, once the WTC1 sub-basement explosions went off, the plane could not veer off and miss the building ruining the plane-impact-and-fires cover story for its collapse. This fact is crucial, as it can take jurisdiction for the mass murders at the WTC out of the hands from the FBI, which oversees crimes committed in the air, as a compelling legal argument can be made that the real crime of controlling the planes' impacts into the towers was committed by ground controllers in a terrestrial building or vehicle. In fact, it has been reported that just-former FBI top 'Al Qaeda' hunter John O'Neill, who began his new job as head of security for the WTC on 9/11, told his assistant in his last phone call from the towers that the planes were being controlled "from the ground." If so, this places the jurisdiction of the crime of the WTC mass murders squarely with the State of New York, as murder is a State crime and multiple mass murders are the sum of individual State crimes. And because the controllers of the timing of the WTC 1 basement-level explosives had to have also been the controllers of the final approach and impact of the planes, and the former was arguably, and provably with legal discovery and subpoena power, on the ground and not in the air, a Manhattan Grand Jury can be given the case and pull the jurisdiction for the Bush-Cheney Reichstag Fire out of Federal jurisdiction.

Because the *real* modus operandi of both the Pentagon and WTC attacks are the same -- inside explosions with plane-impacts-and-fire cover stories -- it is logical to deduce that the same insider terrorists were responsible for pre-placing and detonating the explosives inside both the WTC and the Pentagon. That is, a single group of domestic conspirators—not al Qaeda or any other outside terrorists—almost certainly planned and executed both the WTC and Pentagon attacks, which significantly narrows the range for the identities of the real perpetrators.

In addition to the already well known and officially acknowledged evidence of Bush Administration foreknowledge of the broad outlines of the September 11 attacks – i.e. advance warnings from intelligence agencies of as many as 11 foreign countries and the content of the now-famous August 6, 2001 Presidential Daily Brief (whose 10-page attachment still has not been made public), etc. -- there is strong evidence that Bush-Cheney administration insiders had near perfect -- if not complete -- advance knowledge of the *planned* attacks and both

mirrored them by controlling the actual attacks under cover of the 9/11 hijack-scenario ‘exercises’ and chose the date for the actual attacks, which was therefore also the date for the exercises.

(Note: The fact that Bush Admin. insiders had advance knowledge of the details of a planned “outside” attack, as detailed below, is not inconsistent with these insiders having facilitated and even orchestrated the *actual* attacks to both ensure they happened ‘successfully’ and to blame them on the planners. That is, the real plot behind the Sept. 11 attacks is similar to that of the Nazi Reichstag Fire, through which Hitler rapidly consolidated power, which was its purpose. Like the Nazi-facilitated Reichstag fire, the above and below evidence makes it highly likely that the true story of Sept. 11 is that there was a real though highly-unlikely-to-succeed “outside” plot about which U.S. and allied intelligence gained detailed advance knowledge and the Administration then secretly protected and enabled the plot to ensure not only that it succeeded, but succeeded *spectacularly* as the psychological operation needed to justify the entire subsequent Bush-Cheney global-military and domestic-surveillance agenda.

Below is just some of the compelling evidence that the Bush-Cheney Administration had detailed advance knowledge of the attack *plans*:

1) Shortly after September 11, *Newsweek* reported that *before* 9/11, the Bush Administration initiated a Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) Court surveillance/tap of “up to 20” suspected al Qaeda-linked terrorists then in the U.S., but that then FISA Court Chief Justice Royce Lamberth subsequently ordered the then-already-ongoing surveillance stopped. This can only mean one thing -- that the Bush Justice Dept./FBI/NSA initiated the tap *before* asking the FISA Court for a warrant for it, as with the now-famous post-9/11 NSA taps initiated by the Bush administration without first applying for FISA warrants.

As “up to 20” is a clever way of saying “19” without making the link to 9/11 explicit, the Bush Administration Justice Dept/FBI/NSA almost certainly initiated surveillance of all 19, or close to all 19, of the soon-to-be alleged 9/11 hijackers *before* 9/11, and probably did so based at least in part on the findings of Special Operations Command’s “Able Danger” data mining intelligence operation which Bush and Rumsfeld ordered *shut down* just after taking office in late Jan. 2001. Though Judge

Lamberth ordered the surveillance ended once the administration filed the formal warrant application, there is evidence that the Bush administration ignored his order to cease the tap and continued its surveillance of the alleged 9/11 hijackers up to and including the day of 9/11:

Zacarias Moussaoui—the only person indicted by the Bush Administration for anything even related to 9/11—has stated in court filings that both he “and my (al Qaeda) brothers” then in the U.S. were surveilled by the Bush administration before 9/11 and that the Bush Administration knew he could prove it. How could this be the case? If Moussaoui was one of the “up to 20” al Qaeda-linked terrorist suspects the U.S. surveilled before 9/11 without an advance FISA warrant, as reported by *Newsweek*, then Moussaoui was *also* one of the “up to 20” whose warrantless surveillance Judge Lamberth ordered stopped. Moussaoui, after all, was originally named as the “20th hijacker” of the 9/11 plot. Amazingly, the FISA Act requires that, if the FISA Court rejects a surveillance that was initiated before a warrant has been applied for, as in this case, the court must inform “the target(s)” of the surveillance and give him/them the government’s stated reason for initiating the tap in the FISA application. Thus Moussaoui can “prove” the Bush Administration/FBI initiated surveillance on him before 9/11 because, it can thus be deduced, the FISA Court itself -- or some U.S. agency it ordered to do so on its behalf -- told him so after Lamberth ordered his surveillance and that of the other “up to 20” plotters stopped. Those other “19 or so” plotters who were required by law to have also been officially informed *before* the attacks that they had been surveilled and for what stated reason would have also included Mohammad Atta, Ramzi Binalshibh and all of the other official story hijackers. And because it was almost certainly the FBI that surveilled the “19 or 20” inside the U.S. without warrants before the attacks, explains why the FBI was able to so quickly identify the 19 official-story hijackers immediately after the attacks, though it falsely claimed they knew nothing about the hijackers ahead of time and that the attacks were a complete surprise.

Given the above, the fact that the FISA Court was required to inform most or all 19 of the “up to 20” alleged 9/11 hijackers before 9/11 that they were being surveilled by the Bush Administration—and the reason for such surveillance -- throws new light on the claims by the Pentagon’s then-secret data mining task force “Able Danger” to have tracked lead 9/11 hijacker Mohamed Atta and at least four of the other

19 hijackers beginning in January 2000, when Atta actually did enter the country according to Daniel Hopsicker's taped interview with Atta's live-in girlfriend Amanda Keller reported in his book Welcome to Terrorland. The FBI falsely claimed, and still falsely claims, that Atta did not enter the U.S. until the summer of 2000, six months after he actually did so. The likely reason for this intentional lie about when Atta first entered the country is what Atta is known to have done while inside the U.S. between January and the Summer of 2000. Hopsicker reveals that, among other activities, Atta visited Portland, Maine, in March, 2000, and perhaps even earlier. An abiding "mystery" of the official 9/11 cover story is why Atta drove to this very city -- Portland, Maine -- on September 10, the day before 9/11, and then flew from Portland to Boston early on the morning of September 11. The answer to this "mystery," which the FBI clearly already knows, is the link between what Atta was *doing* in Portland before the Bush Administration said he was even in the country, as well as what he was doing there the day before 9/11 and early on the morning of 9/11. This probably has something to do with the fact that the CIA reportedly runs secret flights out of an airport in Portland, Maine, and that "rendition" detainees have said they were flown out of the country on special jets after first stopping at Portland's International Jet Port.¹²

2) The FBI's top bin Laden/al Qaeda hunter until shortly before 9/11, John O'Neill, "happened" to be at the same hotel in the same town near Tarragona, Spain in mid-July 2001 *just before* lead hijacker Mohammed Atta and 9/11 plot "coordinator" Ramzi Binalshibh arrived there. Some Bush administration officials now also believe that 9/11 "mastermind" Khalid Sheikh Mohammed (KSM) met there for what the 9/11 Commission calls "the Final 9/11 Planning Meeting." This cannot be—and is not—a coincidence. O'Neill, who was in close contact with German intelligence -- Atta led the "German cell" for the 9/11 attacks -- and Spanish intelligence, clearly had been alerted to the upcoming "Final Planning Meeting" meeting and was at the hotel to surveil/tap/bug the room and/or monitor the surveillance/taps/bugs of German and/or Spanish intelligence where it was about to be held. O'Neill and his agency, the Bush-Cheney Administration's FBI, thus knew every detail -- or *nearly every* detail, see below -- of the planned 9/11 plot *at least two months in advance* of the attacks.

Perhaps just as significantly, European media reported that bin Laden was in an American hospital in Dubai incapacitated for surgery during precisely this same mid-July 2001 period of the Spanish "Final 9/11 Planning Meeting." Reportedly, bin Laden was visited in the hospital by the CIA's then UAE station chief. The question naturally arises whether bin Laden was telephoned by Atta, Binalshibh and perhaps even also KSM, or visa versa, while the latter were at the "Final 9/11 Planning Meeting" in the hotel that O'Neill had pre-bugged. If so, then O'Neill, the FBI, and the highest levels of the Bush Admin. – including O'Neill's then boss Attorney General Ashcroft, who suddenly stopped flying commercial aircraft about this time -- knew not only every detail of the 9/11 plot as of that date, but almost certainly recorded all the key conspirators plotting their "final plans" including possibly bin Laden himself, *on tape*—clearly another "Butterfield" tape to be demanded by subpoena in a new 9/11 investigation. In fact, former FBI translator and 9/11 whistleblower Sibel Edmonds has revealed, based on documents she saw as part of her official duties, that bin Laden himself was working for the U.S. up to *and on* the day of 9/11 itself. This almost certainly is the reason the FBI has never listed bin Laden as wanted for 9/11 on its "Most Wanted Terrorists" web page, and why the FBI's chief investigative spokesman Rex Tomb has said the reason bin Laden is not officially wanted for 9/11 is because there is no real evidence linking him to *the attacks*.

That is, there may be, and probably is, evidence linking bin Laden, Binalshibh, KSM and Al Qaeda to a *9/11-like plot and planning*, but *not* to the *actual attacks*, as they were physically carried out by insiders under cover of U.S. Air Force counterterrorism "exercises" that mirrored the "final plans" discussed at the July 2001 Tarragona meeting. As noted above, on *9/11 itself* the U.S. military was conducting NORAD emergency response exercises on scenarios involving plane hijacks, and the NRO was conducting an emergency response exercise on the scenario of a plane crashing into one of the towers of its headquarters just outside Washington, D.C.¹¹ where many NRO personnel are from the Air Force and CIA. It is next to impossible for this to have been the case unless the exercises were intentionally scripted to *mirror* what had been learned from the above-mentioned detailed advance intelligence. That is, the "cover-story" purpose of the military exercises held on 9/11 was to practice how to defend against the very attacks that John O'Neill's Tarragona meeting surveillance, the

Pentagon's "Able Danger" data-mining operation and the FBI's pre-attack FISA-warrant-less surveillance of the "up to 20" (19) suspected al Qaeda terrorists *had already revealed*. You don't practice defending against something in multi-million-dollar hijack-scenario exercises *on* the morning of 9/11 that you "can't imagine."

A few paragraphs above it was stated that, because of O'Neill's surveillance of the Al Qaeda mastermind's and lead hijacker's "Final Planning Meeting" in Tarragona, Spain, he and the Bush-Cheney FBI knew *nearly every* significant operational detail of the plot. The one "burning" exception to this, however, is *the date* of the 9/11 attacks. Perhaps the most compelling proof of active Bush administration complicity in 9/11 is that lead hijacker Mohamed Atta took the information to this critical mid-July "Final 9/11 Planning Meeting" that "the date [for the attacks] *has been set*" -- i.e. set by someone else *other* than Atta) -- and that he, Atta, didn't yet know it, but *would* "know it" in five to six weeks, by late August 2001.¹³ Atta was clearly waiting *to learn* the date of what the Bush Administration and 9/11 Commission allege was "his own" attack. This last key piece of the puzzle fell into place during the first phase of Zacarias Moussaoui's sentencing trial, in the 58-page transcript of "9/11 mastermind" Khalid Sheikh Mohammed's interrogation "testimony" read into the trial record by the Bush Department of Justice prosecution. In this transcript, KSM says that he and bin Laden "allowed *Atta* to choose" both the final targets *and the attack date*."¹⁴ However, from what Atta said to Binalshibh and probably also to KSM and possibly even also to bin Laden by phone at the "Final Planning Meeting" in Spain, we know that Atta *did not* set the attack date, *as he was waiting to learn it* five to six weeks *after* that mid-July "Final Planning Meeting." Putting two and two together from the above, therefore, we know that neither bin Laden *nor* alleged "mastermind" KSM *nor* alleged "coordinator" Binalshibh set the date for "their own" attacks, though setting the date of an attack is the *one* thing that translates a mere plan -- a plot or conspiracy -- into reality. That is, *none of the top alleged 'outside' conspirators set the date for the September 11 attacks*.

If the "9/11 conspirators" didn't set the date to turn their plot into a reality, then who did?

The key and central fact of the entire 9/11 plot is that the attack date Atta was “waiting for” *was* the date of the Bush Administration’s planned counterterrorism exercises, which, in a vicious circle, were scripted to mirror Atta’s and Binalshibh’s attack plan gleaned via advance intelligence obtained from O’Neill’s surveillance of the “Final 9/11 Planning Meeting” near Tarragona, from the Pentagon’s “Able Danger” tracking of Atta and other of the 19 hijack-plotters, and the FBI’s warrantless surveillance of Atta and other of the about-to-be alleged hijackers. And because Atta was “waiting to hear” the attack date, which *was* the hijack-scenario U.S. military exercise date, Atta was *the sole* plotter to whom *the Bush Administration* finally chose to tell the actual attack date – Sept. 11th -- as soon as it was selected, and he bought his one-way ticket as soon as he learned it, in late August 2001, just as he had predicted at the “Final Planning Meeting.”

The No. 1 inside conspirator, therefore, is whoever gave the Pentagon’s own hijack-scenario counterterrorism exercise details *and their date* -- Sept. 11 -- to Mohamed Atta or to a middleman who, in turn, gave the date to Atta.

Lt. Gen. Mahmoud Ahmed, then head of Pakistan’s military intelligence agency ISI, is the prime suspect for a second-level middleman who laundered this No. 1 inside-conspirator’s NORAD hijack-scenario exercise date to Atta. On the morning of 9/11, Ahmed was in Washington, D.C. having breakfast with future CIA Director Porter Goss and Senator Bob Graham, soon to co-chair of the joint House-Senate “investigation” of the 9/11 attacks, and had met with CIA Director George Tenet and with top officials at the Pentagon, about to conduct the exercises, in the few days leading up to 9/11. He is therefore the most likely person who was told the date and details of the Pentagon’s hijack-scenario emergency response exercises and communicated them, directly or via an intermediary, to Atta, as Ahmed also approved wiring \$100,000 to Atta shortly before 9/11. (Interestingly, it has been reported that \$100,000 is an amount the FBI often pays to key informants). It is also known that Atta then confirmed 9/11 as the date *for the exercises*—which *was* to be the date for the attacks—in his now-famous NSA-intercepted call with KSM of September 10, 2001, in which he related “The Match is about to begin. Zero hour is tomorrow.” “Match” is

a way of saying “exercise” or “game.” This critical September 10th telephone intercept was almost certainly made without an advance FISA warrant, putting the lie to then NSA Director and later CIA Director Air Force Gen. Michael Hayden’s patently false claim that the “first” warrantless taps were initiated only as a defensive response to 9/11 *following* the attacks.

Another abiding “mystery” of September 11 is why Gen. Eberhart, the commander of NORAD on 9/11, claimed to the 9/11 Commission that on the morning of 9/11 NORAD was conducting, among others, a preplanned “*Soviet-era*” emergency response exercise¹⁵ in which U.S. fighter jets were to defend against “Russian” nuclear bombers played by U.S. military “Red Team” planes. Why “Soviet era” when the Soviet Union *had ceased to exist ten years before*? He didn’t say “Russian,” he said “*Soviet.*” This is very strange until one discovers that, despite repeated official and media claims that Sept. 11 was “completely unique” and that the skies over America had “never before” been cleared of all commercial and private/civilian aircraft, NORAD (the Air Force) *had* conducted a previous emergency response exercise 40 years earlier which also completely cleared the skies over the mainland U.S. This was on October 14, 1961, in a war game called “Sky Shield II,” which was based on a scenario of defending against an air attack by *Soviet bombers on New York City*.¹⁶ The main difference between the 1961 exercise and September 11th is that the clearing of the skies was publicly announced in advance in “Sky Shield.” This actual Soviet-era exercise (and the fact that it was labeled II implies there was yet an earlier one), which included 1,800 U.S. and 15 Canadian military planes and was billed as “the greatest exercise ever conducted by Western air defense forces,” is even mentioned in the Air Force’s own account of the events of Sept. 11, *Air War Over America*. In fact, Gen. Larry Arnold, NORAD’s commander for the continental U.S. on 9/11 directly under Eberhart, who finally ordered interceptor jets scrambled to belatedly meet the hijack threat, made a point of including the eerily similar 1961 Air Force war game in the book. Not only did both the 1961 and September 11 NORAD “Soviet-era” wargame scenarios include attacks on New York City; in the 1961 exercise, U.S. military planes played the role of the Soviet attack bombers. That is, the U.S. military pre-scripted *both* the defense *and* the “attack” by its own planes pretending to be Soviet aircraft. If Eberhart’s testimony to the 9/11 Commission about NORAD’s conducting a “Soviet-era” attack scenario exercise on 9/11 to the Commission is correct, his

own Air Force agency was conducting an exercise much like the one in 1961 *on 9/11*, for which NORAD therefore pre-scripted the 9/11 “attack” scenario, which was “made real” in the actual attacks that morning.

If a high-level cabal inside the U.S. military and intelligence community intended to make the 9/11 hijack-scenario “exercise(s)” based on Atta’s “Final Planning Meeting” plot become real that morning, they had to have a plan for ensuring the planes actually made it to their targets. Taking control of the original flight(s) and substituting military planes made to look like them would be the “safest” way to achieve this. In this light, it is significant that mainstream press stories contain intriguing reports pointing to the possibility that there were *two* American Airlines “Flight 11s,” leaving from two different gates at Boston Logan airport within a few minutes of one another on 9/11, as well as emerging evidence of other of the Official-Theory-hijacked 9/11 flight numbers being “twinned,”¹⁷ or duplicated. The question thus naturally arises, were these “twin” planes *U.S. military or CIA* planes “playing” hijacked-airliner “attackers,” similar to the 1961 “Sky Shield” scenario except substituting commandeered airliners for Soviet bombers? And could the planned 9/11 NORAD exercises have included a “trigger” event to clear the skies over the mainland U.S. so that a realistic test of U.S. air defenses could be conducted without interference from the thousands of civilian aircraft normally in the air?

Key quotes from *New York Times* articles before, during and immediately after the 1961 NORAD “Sky Shield” exercise are eerily similar to stories appearing on 9/11 [text in parentheses and *italics* added]:

“It is not so much the fear of collisions with military aircraft that has caused civilian planes to be ordered out of the skies, as it is the knowledge that *inadequate* [civilian FAA] electronic flight controls will be available during the exercise to guide them. [U.S.] Strategic Air Command (SAC) bombers, playing the role of the marauding forces, will seek to foul communications and radar. They will drop tinsel-like pieces of metal called “chaff” overhead [similar to the myriad small pieces of metal scrap found on the Pentagon lawn and at the Shanksville, Pennsylvania “crash” site on 9/11?]...that will throw radarscopes [including the FAA’s] into a confusion of false signals.” “All the [exercise “Red Team”] bomber missions were laid out ahead of time and fed into the NORAD computer.” “An automated shorthand running display of the entire battle was provided at NORAD combat center and in similar centers at Strategic Air Command headquarters [to which

President Bush was taken on 9/11] and in the Pentagon [which was attacked on 9/11].” “A flight plan for every [exercise] aircraft is fed into the computer’s memory beforehand. When a plane shows on the radarscope, a console operator picks up an aluminum electronic gun, points it at the blip, and squeezes the trigger. That brings the flight to the computer’s attention. If the flight [plan] is filed in its memory, the computer automatically replies, ‘Yes, I am aware of that [plane].’ It does this by marking the flight with an F for Friendly. While the computer compares the flight with its memorized data, it marks the flight P for Pending. Finally, it may mark it H for Hostile. ‘We have *two minutes* to identify a flight [as Friendly] before we scramble [interceptor jets]...to make a visual identification of an uncertain aircraft or to attack it.’” ‘We do not train [in exercises like the 1961 ‘Sky Shield II, or on 9/11] with Hostile symbology [showing on screens]; therefore, the Strategic Air Command’s bombers playing the role of the attacking [Soviet-Russian] force [on October 14, 1961] were marked K, for Faker.’” “There are seventeen units of Army Air Defense Artillery with ground-to-air anti-aircraft missiles near New York [in 1961; how many more were there on 9/11, 40 years later, when none, according to the official story, were used?]”

The 1961 wargame was directed by then NORAD commander Air Force Gen. Laurence Sherman Kuter from his combat operations center at NORAD’s Colorado Springs headquarters, which in the mid-1960s moved to Cheyenne Mountain, also Gen. Eberhart’s command center on 9/11. It may also be significant that the Air Force’s war games simulation center is at Maxwell Air Force Base in Alabama, which Gen. Kuter had earlier commanded *and* where lead 9/11 hijacker Mohamed Atta had received training prior to 9/11.

The Pentagon’s “Able Danger” data miners claim that “Department of Defense lawyers” -- almost certainly from the National Security Agency, then headed by Gen. Hayden, an officer in the Air Force, the same service that planned the 9/11 war games -- blocked planned meetings with the FBI at which they wanted to tell the FBI that they had “tracked” Atta and other of the 9/11 hijackers prior to 9/11 and ask the FBI to initiate additional surveillance on them. The fact that the FBI *did* initiate exactly such a surveillance of the “up to 20 Al Qaeda linked terrorist suspects” before 9/11 (see above) is strong evidence that, despite its claims to the contrary, the Pentagon’s “Able Danger” team, or someone who knew what they had found, *did* communicate what they had learned from tracking Atta and

the others to the FBI before 9/11, and that the FBI then initiated FISA-warrant-less surveillances of Atta and others subsequently ordered stopped by then Chief FISA Court Judge Lamberth -- all *prior* to 9/11. The fact that initially-suspected “20th 9/11 hijacker” Moussaoui officially filed claims that he “and my brothers” *were* surveilled before 9/11 is further evidence that the FBI continued to watch all or most of the 9/11 hijackers right up until the attacks, despite Lamberth’s order to cease and desist. In the days leading up to 9/11, FBI Headquarters supervisors David Frasca and his deputy Michael Maltbie refused **70** urgent requests by Moussaoui’s FBI interrogator for either a FISA Court warrant or an “ordinary” criminal warrant to get into Moussaoui’s computer and surveil anyone mentioned therein. Doing so, it is claimed, would have stopped the plot, as Moussaoui now claims to have personally known 17 -- almost all -- of the alleged 19 hijackers.¹⁸

In addition to all the evidence that plane-impacts-and-fire was the carefully planned cover story for the cause of collapse of WTC 1, 2 and 7, as well as the west façade of the Pentagon, both of which were initially hit by inside-the-buildings explosives, not planes, the other overwhelming line of evidence for 9/11 being an “Inside Job” is the anthrax attacks. A summary of the anthrax links to 9/11 itself – not just to the known mid-October 2001 letters – follows. For full details on these links, see *The Scarlet A: The Anthrax Links to 9/11* by the author.

Any evidence linking 9/11 to the anthrax letters -- dated September 11 but sent in mid-October and *only* to *Democratic* leaders in Congress, no Republicans -- is direct evidence of an inside job because that particular type of anthrax is known to have been of the highly controlled “Ames strain” developed by the U.S. Army at Ft. Detrick, Maryland, and at the University of Iowa in Ames, Iowa. It was also high-spore-count, *super military-grade* weaponized anthrax refined according to a trade secret reportedly personally held by William Patrick, former Ft. Detrick bio-weapons expert and mentor of Steven Hatfill, the only “person of interest” stalked by the FBI as a suspect in the still “unsolved” anthrax case, and the close friend and colleague of Bush Administration bio-counterterrorism expert Jerry Hauer, a signer of the pre-9/11 Project for New American Century (PNAC) manifesto noting the “opportunities” that would be created by “a new Pearl Harbor” attack.

On September 11, 2001 this same Jerry Hauer reportedly personally delivered the anti-anthrax medication *Cipro* to Vice President Cheney's staff at the White House. Why? The conservative legal watchdog group Judicial Watch has filed a suit against Vice President Cheney and other Bush Admin. officials demanding to know why *Cipro* was delivered to the executive mansion -- and only to the executive mansion -- on the day of the attacks. So far the response has been deafening silence. On September 10th, the day before 9/11, FEMA and other emergency response personnel arrived in New York City for a counter-bioterrorism exercise called "Tripod II" claimed by the Bush administration to have been scheduled to begin September 12. There is reason to believe that the bio-agent this drill was to practice defending against was anthrax, as Jerry Hauer was also a major planner of the New York City exercise. And there is also a strong possibility the true start date for the exercise was Sept. 11, not Sept. 12, as many "exercise" personnel were already in place in New York City on September 10. As NORAD's (U.S. Air Force's) hijack-scenario 'counterterrorism' exercise had just "come to life" in real attacks on 9/11, were Hauer and Cheney worried that the same thing might be about to happen with the counter-bioterrorism "exercise" Tripod II? Is this why the anti-anthrax drug *Cipro* was distributed to the White House on 9/11 -- "just in case"? If so, it would be strong evidence that Tripod II was on the scenario of defending New York City -- and perhaps also the White House -- against an anthrax attack. Was the "vector" -- the delivery vehicle -- for that anthrax-attack-scenario emergency response exercise to have been via hijacked plane(s)?

Notably, in their book on bio-terrorism, *Germs*, Judith Miller and William Broad claim, apparently from inside sources, that Ramzi Yousef's plans for the first World Trade Center attack in 1993 included explosively pushing large quantities of cyanide out into New York City. Khalid Sheikh Mohammed, the official story "mastermind" of 9/11, is Yousef's uncle. Finally, former New York City mayor Rudolf Giuliani testified to the 9/11 Commission that even before WTC7, the location of his emergency operations center, collapsed on 9/11, he moved those operations to the command and control center set up for the "Tripod II" bio-terrorism exercise on Pier 92 and that it worked even better than the original. Giuliani told the 9/11 Commission, "The reason Pier 92 was selected as a command center was because on the next day, on September 12, Pier 92 was going to have a drill. It had hundreds of people there -- from FEMA, from the Federal Government, from [the] State [Dept.], from the [New York]

State Emergency Management Office – and they were getting ready for a drill for bio-chemical attack. So that was going to be the place they were going to have the drill. The equipment was already there, so we were able to establish a command center there that was *two and a half to three times bigger* than the command center that we had lost at 7 World Trade Center. And it was from there that the rest of the [9/11 and subsequent] search and rescue effort was completed.”

Conclusion

A cabal of covert elements within the U.S. military and intelligence community, not al Qaeda, had the classification-controlled access to plant explosives inside its own most heavily defended world headquarters, the Pentagon. The U.S. military and intelligence community, not al Qaeda, had the access to plant the explosives Willy Rodriguez heard and felt go off deep in the sub-basement of the World Trade Center on 9/11. The U.S. military and intelligence community, not al Qaeda, had offices in WTC 7 and had the sustained access in the lead up to 9/11 to plant the controlled demolition charges throughout the superstructures of WTC 1, WTC2 and WTC7 in New York City which brought down all three buildings on 9/11. The U.S. military and intelligence community, not al Qaeda, had access to the sulfur-enhanced super-military-grade *nano*-thermite (thermate) detected in the WTC dust needed to melt the steel found molten deep in its basement levels as long as two months later, and to the super-military-grade *nano* anthrax spores in the letters sent to just the Democratic leadership of the Congress -- no Republicans -- and to top media. Al Qaeda would never target only Democrats, especially as the U.S. leadership at the time of the 9/11 attacks was Republican. When he received the anthrax letter dated Sept. 11, then Senate Democratic leader Thomas Daschle was calling for a Congressional investigation of 9/11 and had already been warned off from “looking too closely at” 9/11 by personal calls from both President Bush and Vice President Cheney. When he received his anthrax letter, another Democratic leader, Senator Patrick Leahy, was leading Congress’ resistance to the Patriot Act, a premeditated assault on *Americans’* privacy rights and civil liberties justified by “al Qaeda’s” alleged 9/11 attack clearly drafted by the Bush Administration well *before* 9/11 and “in the can” awaiting its desired “New Pearl Harbor” trigger event. The U.S. military and intelligence community, not al Qaeda, would have chosen the least-populated and most-reinforced section of the Pentagon—its newly upgraded west wedge—to strike in order to minimize casualties while being able to

blame outside attackers, whereas real terrorists would have done everything they could to maximize them. Real terrorists, also, would have maximized casualties at the World Trade Center by placing explosives so as to allow the buildings to haphazardly fall on other buildings and the surrounding streets, not bring them neatly down by controlled demolition designed to minimize casualties and collateral damage. A U.S. military plane, not one piloted by al Qaeda, had to have performed the steep ‘Top Gun’ high-speed 270- to 330-degree dive towards the Pentagon that Dulles Air Traffic Controllers thought *was* a military plane as they watched it on their screens that morning. Only a military aircraft, not a civilian airliner flown by al Qaeda, would have given off the “Friendly” signal needed not to trigger the Pentagon’s anti-aircraft missile batteries as it approached the building. Only the U.S. military, not al Qaeda, had the ability to break its own Standard Operating Procedures and paralyze its own emergency response system on 9/11.

And who in the U.S. military, intelligence and military contractor chains of command and U.S. civilian leadership in the Bush-Cheney Administration are among the prime suspects for these Acts of High Treason?

First and foremost are the signatories of the pre-9/11 Project for a New American Century (PNAC) manifesto calling for “a new Pearl Harbor” to catalyze its radical right-wing global domination and domestic surveillance-and-control agenda: 1) Vice President **Dick Cheney**; 2) Secretary of Defense **Donald Rumsfeld**; 3) then Deputy Secretary of Defense **Paul Wolfowitz**; 4) **Richard Perle**, then head of Secretary Rumsfeld’s Defense Policy Board; 5) **Jerry Hauer**, one of the U.S. government’s top bio-terrorism experts who reportedly took anti-anthrax Cipro to the White House *on* 9/11.^{15A} Hauer had been director of NYC Mayor Rudolph Giuliani’s Office of Emergency Management (OEM), whose personnel were moved to a New York pier on 9/11 just before its WTC7 offices were destroyed by pre-placed controlled demolition charges. A central player in scripting the bio-chem terrorism attack scenario for the Sept. 10/11/12 TRIPOD II exercise in NYC, Hauer is also an expert in the response to building collapses (New York Times, July 27, 1999). It was Hauer who insisted, despite the 1993 terrorist attack on WTC1, that Giuliani still locate his Office of Emergency Management, from which a response to another terrorist attack would be expected to be orchestrated, in WTC7 next door^{15B}, and also Hauer who zealously pushed the ‘bin Laden

did it and planes-and-fires brought down the Towers' official story on CBS News on 9/11 in the immediate aftermath of the attacks before anyone without insider knowledge could have possibly determined the actual cause of the collapses, taking pains to state that explosives were not involved, when they were. The OEM opened on the 23rd floor of WTC7 in June 1999, where Hauer, its director, had his office. Hauer was also managing director of Kroll Associates before and on 9/11, the company that provided 'security' for the World Trade Center, including all three buildings brought down by controlled demolition that morning, and thus had complete access to pre-place the explosive charges he adamantly insisted on national TV on 9/11 were not involved. Hauer became a National Security adviser to the National Institutes of Health on Sept. 10, the very day TRIPOD II personnel arrived in New York City, from which new NIH post he managed the Bush Administration's 'response' to the imminent anthrax attacks and the initial cover up of the insider anthrax killers. 6) **Gary Bauer**, the right-wing 'family values' zealot who 'happened' to be one of the 'witnesses' to immediately claim publicly to have seen 'Flight 77 hit the Pentagon', proven by the evidence to be a physical impossibility; and 7) then National Security Council Middle East adviser **Zalmay Khalizad** close to NSC Director Condoleezza Rice, soon to be the first US Ambassador to Afghanistan after 9/11 and then U.S. Ambassador to Iraq – the very two countries whose invasions were rationalized as retaliation for the 9/11 attacks. During the Cold War, Khalizad was reportedly a liaison to then CIA "bag man" Osama bin Laden in the CIA-Pakistani ISI-Saudi covert war against the Soviets in Afghanistan, the crucible from which al Qaeda later emerged. 'Al Qaeda', in fact, was originally the CIA's and ISI's list of anti-Soviet foreign fighters in Afghanistan.

Other key suspects are New York City Mayor **Rudolph Giuliani**; Air Force General **William Hayden**, later Director of the CIA and then head of the National Security Agency (NSA), which tapped the calls of lead hijacker Mohamed Atta and 9/11 "mastermind" Khalid Sheikh Mohammed *the day before* 9/11, and surely on many other occasions before 9/11 as well—all almost certainly without FISA warrants as required by law. These pre-9/11 warrant-less NSA taps put the lie to President Bush's claim that he initiated the program of warrant-less NSA taps of al Qaeda suspects because of—and thus only after—9/11. Yet another key suspect is Army Lieutenant General **William "Jerry" Boykin**, the radical Christian fundamentalist Special Operations commando recently proposed to head the Army's Special Operations Command.

Another is the **Pentagon's POP2 office**, which reportedly plans and scripts "false flag" operations—attacks planned and orchestrated by the U.S. military but made to appear perpetrated by an outside enemy to justify U.S. military "retaliation." Two other key suspects are Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) Iran expert **Lawrence "Larry" Franklin**, who was "loaned" to Perle and Wolfowitz's neocon co-conspirator **Douglas Feith** and arrested for passing national security secrets to Israeli operatives at a meeting of top American-Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) personnel. Franklin also was and is an officer in the Air Force Reserves, which directed NORAD's "Vigilant Guardian/Vigilant Warrior" hijack-scenario exercises on 9/11.

Scrutiny should also be leveled at **the scriptwriters for the NORAD hijack-scenario and NRO plane-into-tower emergency response exercises** planned for and held on 9/11, especially members of their lead "**White Teams**," which set the content and then oversee both "Red Team attackers" and "Blue Team defenders" on the actual day of an exercise, in this case on 9/11 itself. And **every one** of the as-yet-to-be-identified "**top Pentagon officials**" who on Sept. 10, 2001, the day before 9/11, according to *Newsweek*, **suddenly cancelled their already-booked flights for September 11.**¹⁹ Also National Military Command Center (NMCC) commander Brig. Gen. **Montague Winfield**, who on that same day, September 10, asked his deputy, Navy Capt. **Charles Leidig** to take over for him the next morning between 8:30 and 10:30 -- precisely the time window of the "exercises" whose details and date had been given to Mohammed Atta. Further investigation should be directed at the (government) "**agency**", variously reported as the sole client of Deutsche Bank, that the 9/11 Commission revealed, without identifying by name, took out the vast majority of the put options on American Airlines, United Airlines, Boeing and Morgan Stanley Dean Witter in the few days before 9/11. Also, **Michael Chertoff**, U.S. Attorney for the District of New Jersey during the first 1993 attack on the World Trade Center who, as a private attorney, represented Egyptian-born US resident **Magdy Elamir**, under investigation for illegally diverting millions of dollars and whose brother, **Mohammed Elamir**, funded arms smugglers linked to al Qaeda.²⁰ Significantly, **Mohamed Atta's name in his country of birth, Egypt, was also Mohamed Elamir.** In other words, the very man President Bush put *in charge* of the entire 9/11 "investigation" as then Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Division soon to be appointed Director of the new Department of Homeland Security -- *the* top official

charged with defending the U.S. mainland from an attack by al Qaeda -- may have himself been directly involved with Al Qaeda and even with Mohamed Atta himself. In fact, Chertoff may well have been the top Bush-Cheney insider whom we know (see above) was the source for Atta's finally *being told* the date of "his own" attack. Also, FBI headquarters supervisor on 9/11 **David Frasca** and his deputy **Michael Maltbie**, who ignored 70 pleas by Zacarias Moussaoui's FBI interrogator to let him investigate the contents of Moussaoui's computer before 9/11. Attention should especially be directed to **Phillip Zelikow**, a Bush-Cheney White House NSC staff member along with Zalmay Khalizad to then NSC Adviser **Condoleezza Rice** before and on 9/11. Zelikow both orchestrated the *9/11 Commission Report* cover up of the administration's inside job and, at Rice's personal request, rewrote the Bush Administration's official national strategic plan draft to better match the global domination agenda of the *pre-9/11* PNAC manifesto brought to life by the Sept. 11 attacks. Zelikow specializes in political mythologies, clearly the most important qualification for being the orchestrator of the Official Myth of Sept. 11 -- *The 9/11 Commission Report*. Only someone in the innermost circle of the real criminal conspirators would be trusted with this critical mission of covering up their mass crime.

These are *just some of the names* knit into the Scroll of the September 11 Truth Revolution.

Notes:

1A) The clock stopped at the moment the Great Earthquake hit San Francisco on April 18, 1906 is at <http://sfgate.com/greatquake/>.

1B) List of widely varying initial reports of alleged Flight 77 impact times: <http://stevenwarran.blogspot.com/2007/02/when-did-pentagon-get-attacked-exactly.html>.

2) This photo of a Pentagon wall clock frozen at 9:31:40 am by the first violent event at the Pentagon was posted on an official Navy web site at: http://www.news.navy.mil/view_single.asp?id=2480Pentagonclock_BBC. Note that whoever took this official Navy photo placed the clock in front of a poster of the controversial Marine Corps part-helicopter/part-fixed-wing plane the Osprey, perhaps thereby suggesting what may have struck the building (after the inside explosions went off), if anything did. Though the

Osprey officially existed only in prototype at the time, a prototype Osprey would be unique in that its military IFF transponder would have given off a 'friendly' signal and it could have approached the Pentagon helipad in its helicopter mode and changed over into fixed-wing plane mode at the last second, taking defenses off guard. The "official" Pentagon clock in the national 9/11 exhibit at the Smithsonian Institution, the electric Skillcraft wall clock that hung on the wall of the Pentagon Heliport fire station, is stopped at 9:31:30 -- only 10 seconds difference:

<http://www.americanhistory.si.edu/september11/collection/search.asp?search=1&keywords=Wall+clock&location=> .

2A) Videotaped under-oath testimony of April Gallop to the author, Irvine, California, March 2007, approx. two hours. This testimony formed the basis for a lawsuit filed by Ms. Gallop.

2B) April Gallop's watch, which was stopped just after 9:30 by the explosion that happened at the *precise* moment she hit the 'power on' button on her computer on the morning of 9/11, is evidence that the actual time of the initial explosive violent at the Pentagon was closer to 9:30 than 9:32. As the information about Gallop's wrist watch was obtained after the first version of this white paper was published, the author has retained 9:32 as a shorthand for the *time window* 9:30 to 9:32 within which, from the subsequent additional evidence, the initial explosion at the Pentagon occurred.

2C) Audio of Jane Graham interview, KPFA Radio, Berkeley, Calif.
<http://www.kpfa.org/archive/id/62892>

3) Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) timeline document "Executive Summary Chronology of a Multiple Hijacking Crisis, September 11, 2001."

4) Danish Foreign Minister Per Stig Moller interview with Denmark Radio P3, September 12, 2001, 6:15 am Denmark time. "...I saw smoke and fire rising from the Pentagon at 9:32...My first impression was that a bomb had been detonated at the Pentagon." The audio of this radio interview is in the 9/11 video documentary "Bomberne som Forsvandt" by Danish researcher Henrik Melvang, available at www.unmask.dk and at www.bombsinsidewtc.dk. See also the 9/11 timeline by European researcher Jose Garcia in *Reality, Truth and Evil Facts, Questions and Perspectives on September 11, 2001*, Temple Lodge Publications, 2005.

4A) Naudet Brothers video:

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=2457244225269763926&hl=en#>
at 42:27.

4B) Richard Clarke interview article at

<http://www.nps.edu/About/News/Counterterrorism-Czar-Richard-Clarke-Calls-for-New-National-Cyber-Defense-Policy-to-Prevent-a-Cyber-9/11-.html>

4C) Touching History: The Untold Story of the Drama That Unfolded in the Skies Over America on 9/11, pp. 24-27.

5) *The 9/11 Conspiracy*, Catfeet Press/Open Court , James Fetzer, editor, 2006, chapter by Prof. James Fetzer; and photos of a JT8D turbojet engine and the remnant found at the Pentagon at

<http://www.simmeringfrogs.com/articles/jt8d.html>.

6) Report by two civilian defense contractor employees at

“Secret Global Hawk Refit for Sky Warrior,”

<http://portland.indymedia.org/en/2005/05/318250.shtml>.

6A) Rear Admiral Hathaway bio information

(http://www.historycommons.org/context.jsp?item=complete_911_timeline_3229#complete_911_timeline_3229).

7) *9/11 -- Coup Against America: The Pentagon Analysis*, compilation of Pentagon eyewitness reports, photos and analyses with hundreds of references, by Peter Tiradera, Book Surge LLC, 2006, available from petertiradera@yahoo.com .

8) Perkal and Goldsmith:

<http://911research.wtc7.net/pentagon/evidence/witnesses/explosive.html>.

Danner: American Free Press, July 7, 2006, reporting based on audio report by Republic Broadcasting Network, summary at

<http://www.total911.info/2006/07/pentagon-eyewitness-ids-global-hawk.html>.

9) Author interview with former Acting Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict, Monterey, California; summary posted on Naval Postgraduate School web site www.nps.navy.mil,

subsequently changed to www.nps.edu. Article no longer posted; hard copy available from the author.

9A) Personal communication to the author by Rhett Flater, Executive Director of the American Helicopter Society.

9B) “Chilling Aerial Photos of 9/11 Attack Released: Police Aerial Photos Show World Trade Center Collapse”
<http://abcnews.go.com/US/wireStory?id=9796098>.

10) Videotaped testimony of William (“Willy”) Rodriguez, former World Trade Center janitor and the last person to leave the WTC alive on September 11, in the 9/11 documentary “Loose Change,” second edition, text in parentheses added: “All of a sudden we hear ‘Boom!’ in the basement. I thought it was a generator that blew up, and I said to myself, ‘Oh, my God, I think it was a generator. And I was going to verbalize it, and when I finished saying that in my mind I heard (another, second) ‘Boom!’ right on the top (above), pretty far away. And so it was a difference (in space and time) between coming from the basement and coming from the top...and a person comes running into the office (in the first basement level, from a deeper basement level) saying ‘Explosion!’...and he said ‘(it was from) The elevators!’ And there were many (deep basement WTC1) explosions.”

11) “Agency (NRO) Planned Exercise on September 11 Built Around a Plane Crashing into a Building,” Associated Press, August 22, 2002; by Jonathan Lumpkin; “They Scrambled Jets, but It was a Race They Couldn’t Win,” *Syracuse Post-Standard*, January 20, 2002, by Hart Seely; “Rome Staff’s Efforts on 9/11 Earn Praise, Commission Says Military Did the Best It Could with the Information It Had,” *Syracuse Post-Standard*, June 18, 2004, by Hart Seely; Complete 9/11 Military Exercises Timeline, Cooperative Research, at http://www.cooperativeresearch.org/timeline.jsp?timeline=complete_911_timeline&before-9/11=militaryExercises;

Crossing the Rubicon, by Michael Ruppert, Chapter 19: “Wargames and High Tech: Paralyzing the System to Pull Off the Attacks” and Chapter 20: “Q&A: Many Asked, Some Answered—and a Golden Moment,” New Society Publishers, 2004. In the Acknowledgements to *Rubicon*, p. xi, Ruppert credits the author with what he refers to as

“the Holy Grail of 9/11 research” (p. 336): Thanks to Barbara Honegger, who kept hammering on the wargames until we all paid notice... you showed me the most important lead I needed to put it all together.”

12) “Detainee’s Suit Gains Support from Jet’s Log,” *New York Times*, March 30, 2005, p. A1. Key excerpt, text in parentheses added: “Mr. Arar (a “rendered” detainee) says he followed the (Gulfstream jet) plane’s movements on a map displayed on a video screen (inside the plane), watching it as he traveled to Dulles Airport outside Washington, to a Maine Airport he believed was in Portland (Maine), to Rome, and finally to Amman, Jordan, where he was blindfolded and driven to Syria.” Though the FAA claims its records show a plane on that date making the other stops but landing in Bangor, not Portland, Maine, the detainee’s account may be accurate, as only Portland’s airport is labeled an “International Jet Port,” specializing in landings and takeoffs of just such private, corporate and government jets.

13) Ironically, at the final hearing of the Kean Commission, where its report was released to the press and public, commissioner John Lehman responded to the question, What if anything remained unknown, by noting that the Commission still wasn’t clear as to “how Atta chose the date for the attacks.”

14) Summary interrogation of Khalid Shaikh Mohammed, claimed “mastermind” of the September 11 attack plot, read into the Zacarias Moussaoui sentencing trial record by the prosecution on March 27, 2006; the full text is part of the court proceedings transcript for that date available through Exemplaris.com.

15) *The 9/11 Commission Report*, note 116, p. 458, at <http://www.9-11commission.gov/report/911Report.pdf>. Key excerpt: “On 9/11, NORAD was scheduled to conduct a military exercise, Vigilant Guardian, which postulated a bomber attack from the *former Soviet Union* .”

15A) <http://www.judicialwatch.org/1967.shtml>

15B) <http://truthmovecom.blogspot.com/2008/07/jerome-hauer-911-suspect-awaiting.html>

* * *